UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,289	04/29/2005	Lars Martensson	1027651-000272	6042
	7590 03/12/201 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	YOO, REGINA M		
ALEAANDKIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1797		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,289	MARTENSSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LXAIIIIIEI	Art Unit		

	1120111/1100	'', ",	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount a shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was appeared.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. 📙 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment ( <b>I</b>	PTOL-324).
5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-19 and 36.		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented.  Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Elizabeth L McKane/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1797	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added claim 36 requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented in the 4th and 5th paragraphs in page 7 of Remarks are not persuasive as both arguments only discuss a function/intended use of the device since MPEP 2114 clearly indicates that the apparatus claims must be distinguished from prior art devices structurally rather than functionally/manner of operating the device. In particular, there is not a specific structure in claim 1 that would further distinguish the claimed device from that taught by Zelina and Taggart. Moreover, the newly added claim 36 requires further search and/or consideration.